

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JOHN S. BEULICK C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE **SUITE 2600** ST LOUIS MO 63102-2740

**COPY MAILED** 

MAR 0 1 2006

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

In re Application of

John Joseph Dienno et al.

Application No. 09/682,422 ON PETITION

Filed: August 31, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 9D-DW-19893

This is a decision on the petition, filed January 19, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

A non-Final Office Action was mailed February 8, 2005. A response was due not later than May 8, 2005. A response in the form of an amendment was filed April 1, 2005. However, on May 31, 2005 the applicant was advised that the amendment filed was non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121. The time for response was one month from the mailing of the May 31, 2005 Notice. No response having been filed, this application became abandoned July 1, 2005 and accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed December 28, 2005.

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply (unless previously filed), which may be met by the filing of a continuing application in a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, but must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof in an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof;
  - (2) the petition fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(I);
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was

unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) a terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) in a design application, a utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or a plant application filed before June 8, 1995.

A supplemental amendment is submitted with the petition to revive.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3637 for processing of the amendment filed January 19, 2006.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions